

Heterodyne Detection of Gravitational Waves in a Michelson Interferometer with a Vibrating Mirror

Y.Ben-Aryeh, Physics Department, Technion , Haifa 32000, Israel

(July 4, 1999)

Abstract

A new heterodyne detection method is suggested for detecting gravitational waves in a Michelson Interferometer. The method is based on interference between phase changes which are induced by a vibrating mirror with phase changes which are due to the gravitational waves. The advantage of using a second order correlation function in the present analysis is discussed.

In the present article a special heterodyne scheme is suggested for detecting a periodic gravitational wave¹⁻⁴, which has a very low frequency (relative to optical frequencies) in a Michelson interferometer which has two long arms (in the order of few kilometers length). Since a polarized gravitational wave is expected to produce very small phase differences between the two arms of the interferometer , detection of such small phase differences¹⁻¹⁹ is related to optical problems. In the Michelson interferometer^{20,21} monochromatic light from a laser with a certain wavelength λ is split into two orthogonal beams via a beam splitter. Each beam traverses a path length L and is reflected by a mirror to the beam splitter. Here the beams are recombined and the output is detected by a photodiode. The output observed will depend on the relative path difference between the two arms. In the conventional approach , the effective path difference is expected to be changed by the gravitational wave which will be observed as a shift in the interference pattern.

In a previous study²² it has been suggested to insert in one arm of the interferometer a slab of crystal (e.g. KDP) in which the index of refraction will be changed periodically by electrooptic effect^{21,23} , and the phase changes due to the modulation of the optical path

difference will interfere with those of the gravitational waves. This idea is however not feasible since the insertion of the crystal in the interferometer will introduce extra noise terms, and also the crystal will be destroyed by the strong laser beams which are used for gravitational waves detection. Since the mirrors of interferometric detectors of gravitational waves are often suspended by the use of magnetic forces , it is relatively easier to use a periodic magnetic (or electrostatic) field which would lead to periodic vibrations of one mirror^{14,19}. The modulation of the path difference between the two arms of the interferometer due to the vibration of the mirror can lead to interference with a periodic gravitational wave, which can be observed by heterodyne detection methods. The advantage of using a heterodyne detection method follows from the fact that it is phase dependent and can concentrate the measurement into a very narrow bandwidth. Since the main noise sources in gravitational waves detectors (e.g. shot noise, thermal noise etc.) are proportional to the bandwidth of measurement , the narrowing of the bandwidth by the heterodyne detection leads , effectively , to reduction of the noise terms.

The interference of light from the two arms of the interferometer can be described schematically as

$$\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle \simeq \epsilon_0^2 [1 + \langle \cos(\Delta\Phi_1 - \Delta\Phi_2) \rangle] \quad (1)$$

Here $\Delta\Phi_1$ and $\Delta\Phi_2$ include all the phase terms in the first and second arms of the interferometer , respectively. $\langle \rangle$ indicates averaged values over times which are very long , relative to the time period of the optical wave , which has a frequency ω . ϵ is the amplitude of the light output from the interferometer and ϵ_0 is the the light amplitude in each of the two arms of the interferometer. We assume that $(\Delta\Phi_1 - \Delta\Phi_2)$ includes the gravitational phase modulation

$$\Delta\Phi_{grav} = a_{grav} \cos(\omega_g t) \quad (2)$$

and phase modulation by the periodic vibration of the mirror

$$\Delta\Phi_{vib} = a_{vib} \cos(\omega_{vib} t) \quad (3)$$

By substituting Eqs. (2-3) into Eq. (1) , developing $\cos(\Delta\Phi_1 - \Delta\Phi_2)$ with the use of Bessel functions of the first kind²³⁻²⁴ , we find that the light intensity of the laser output includes the heterodyne term:

$$I_{Het} \propto J_1(a_{grav}) \sin(\omega_g t) \times J_1(a_{vib}) \sin(\omega_{vib} t) \quad (4)$$

where J_1 is the Bessel function of the first kind. By a straight forward calculation of Eq. (4) we find that the output of the interferometer will include light modulated with the frequencies $\omega_g \pm \omega_{vib}$. For $J_1(a_{grav})$ one can use the approximation:

$$J_1(a_{grav}) \simeq \frac{1}{2}a_{grav} = \left(\frac{\Delta L_g}{\lambda}\right) \pi \quad (5)$$

Here ΔL_g is the maximal path difference between the two arms of the interferometer , induced by the periodic gravitational wave. For a strong periodic gravitational wave , an order of magnitude $\frac{\Delta L_g}{L} \simeq 10^{-22}$ can be estimated¹⁻¹⁹ for a path difference L. For 6 kilometers path difference , between the two arms of the interferometer , and $\lambda = 10^{-4}cm$ we get $a_{grav} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-12}$ which is a very small number. However $J_1(a_{vib})$ can be , relatively , a quite large number. Following the above analysis , we find that the intensity of the light output from the Michelson interferometer can have the component

$$I_{Het} \propto a_{grav} J_1(a_{vib}) \cos[(\omega_g + \omega_{vib}) t] \quad (6)$$

In the common method of measuring periodic gravitational waves by observing a shift in the interference pattern , the time of measurement is restricted to half a cycle. Since the flux of gravitational wave energy on earth is extremely weak , the common method has the disadvantage of getting extremely weak effect during this time of measurement. Another method in which the time of measurement is very long relative to the time period of the gravitational wave can be made by the measurement of the Fourier transform of the second order correlation function. The normalized light intensity-intensity correlation function^{20,25} is given by

$$g^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{\langle : I(t) I(t+\tau) : \rangle}{\langle I(t) \rangle^2} \quad (7)$$

where I is the light intensity and $: :$ denotes normal ordering of the electric field operators, which are in the numerator of $g^{(2)}(\tau)$. The normalized light intensity-intensity correlation function can be obtained by using 50-50 percent beam splitter, at the interferometer output, and converting the interferometer light into currents $i(t)$ and $i(t+\tau)$ by two photomultipliers. By using a spectrum analyzer and performing the Fourier transform of the current fluctuations one gets^{20,25-27}:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\langle i(t) i(t+\tau) \rangle - \langle i \rangle^2 \right) e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau = 2eG \langle i \rangle + \langle i \rangle^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(g^{(2)}(\tau) - 1 \right) e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau \quad (8)$$

Here G is the amplification factor of the photomultiplier and in deriving Eq. (8) a current $\delta(t)$ pulse function is assumed.^{20,25-27} The first term on the right side of Eq. (8) which is known as the shot noise^{20-21,25-27} can be reduced relative to the signal by using high intensity lasers. However, other noise terms (e.g. thermal noise, seismic etc.) will affect the detection of the gravitational waves. Since we are treating the detection of gravitational waves in the frequency range $10-10^4$ Hz, there is a very strict requirement on the stability of the laser which should be coherent during a time τ which is of order the time period of the gravitational wave ($10^{-1} - 10^{-4}$), sec. This is the main difficulty in using the present method but with the present advanced technology this requirement can be achieved. On the other hand we find that in using Eq. (8) one can average all terms over very long times, relative to the time period of the gravitational wave. By using Eq.(8) with the heterodyne term of Eq. (6) one may observe certain peaks in the light intensity spectrum at frequencies $\omega_{vib} \pm \omega_{grav}$, which will indicate the observation of the periodic gravitational wave.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.S.Thorne, in *Three Hundred Years of Gravitation* ,S.W.Hawkins and W. Israel eds. (Cambridge University Press,Cambridge,1987) p. 330.
- [2] J.A. Tyson and R.P.Giffard ,Ann.Rev.Astron.Astrophys.**16**, 521(1978).
- [3] H. Ohanian and R. Ruffini , *Gravitation and Spacetime* (Norton,New York,1994).
- [4] C.W.Misner,K.S.Thorne and J.A.Wheeler ,*Gravitation* (Freeman,New York ,1973).
- [5] F.Barone, L.Milano, I.Pinto and F. Recano, Astron.Astrophys. **199**, 161(1988)
- [6] C.R.Evans , I. Iben Jr., and L.Smar, The Astrophysical Journal **323**, 129 (1987).
- [7] D. Hils, P.L.Bender , and R.F. Webbink , The Astrophysical Journal **360**,75(1990).
- [8] J.W.Weisberg, J. H. Taylor, and L.A.Fowler, Scientific American **245**, 66 (October,1981).
- [9] A.D.Jefferis, P.R.Saulson, R.E.Spero,and M.E.Zucker,ScientificAmerican **256**, 50 (June ,1987).
- [10] D.Shoemaker , R. Schilling , L. Schnupp, W. Winkler , K.Maischberg er, and A. Rudiger , Phys.Rev.D**38** , 423 (1988).
- [11] N.Kawashima , Class.Quantum Grav. **11 A**, 83 (1994).
- [12] P.R. Saulson, *Fundamentals of Interferometric Gravitational WaveDetectors* (World Scientific , Singapore , 1994).
- [13] F.Pegoraro ,E. Picasso,and L.A.Radicati ,J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.**11**, 1949 (1978).
- [14] S. Kawamura and M.E. Zucker ,Applied Optics **33**, 3912 (1994).
- [15] E.Morrison , B.J.Meers, S.I.Robertson and H.Ward ,Applied Optics **33** , 5041 (1994).
- [16] X.Zuhge , J.M.Centrella and S.L.W.McMillan ,Phys.Rev.D **50** , 6247(1994).
- [17] B.J.Meers, A.Krolak and J.A.Lobo , Phys. Rev.D**47**, 2184(1993).

- [18] K.Oohara and T. Nakamura , Prog. Theor.Phys.**82** ,535 (1989).
- [19] A.Giazotto, Phys. Lett.A **245** , 203 (1998).
- [20] L.Mandel and E. Wolf , *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge , 1995).
- [21] H.A.Haus , *Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics* (Prentice-Hall,Englewood Cliffs, 1984).
- [22] Y.Ben-Aryeh,in *Gravitational waves:Proceedings of the Second Edoardo Amaldi Conference* ,E.Coccia , G.Veneziano, and G.Pizella eds.(World Scientific, Singapore 1998)p. 433.
- [23] A.K.Ghatak and K.Thyagarajan, *Optical Electronics (CambridgeUniversity Press, Cambridge ,1989).*
- [24] G.Arffen, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* (Academic Press, New York , 1985).
- [25] Y.Ben-Aryeh and B.Huttner,Phys. Rev.A **36** , 1249 (1987).
- [26] B.Huttner and Y.Ben-Aryeh, Phys. Rev.A **40**, 2479 (1989).
- [27] Y.Ben-Aryeh , Laser Physics **5** , 733 (1994)